



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_26775
Title of the Manuscript:	The Complementary Compound Truncated Poisson-Weibull Distribution for Pricing Cat Bonds for Extreme Earthquakes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This is a well-researched paper . The author wrote on area that is not appealing to reseachers. Initially the topic looks very dry but reading further and using the models brought out clearly the general objective of the whole study.	The author is thankful to the reviewer for his kind remarks.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The model introduced was alright for exactly what he had in mind to achieve.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	none	