



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_26746
Title of the Manuscript:	The role of financial institutions in promoting innovation of SMEs in Rwanda: An empirical review
Type of the Article	Review paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	-Please rewrite abstract to length limit 200 words. -Suggest cut Line 31-32. -Suggest review the main body from 2. Brief description of SMEs to Line 388 7.Challenges facing SMEs. Is each part (2.-7.) independent? Otherwise, suggest restructure these part to tight relationship.	-The abstract has been reviewed up to 198 words - Line 31-32 have been removed From brief description of SMEs to challenges facing SMEs restructuration has been done to tight relationship
Minor REVISION comments	-Line 234-240. The figure name is too long. Suggest change to a short name. -Line 536: References needn't number. Suggest 9. References change to References directly. -Suggest give references relevant number and mark them with same number in the manuscript so as to let readers check and read easily -What does mean from Line 672 to Line 691? Please have a look and modify as other references.	- Figure name has been changed to a short name - Reference number has been deleted - The relevant reference number have been added with the same number in the manuscript - Line 672 to Line 691 in reference have been modified
Optional/General comments		