SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJEMT_26636**
Title of the Manuscript:	**POULTRY FARMING RISK MANAGEMENT BY INSURANCE: EVIDENCE FROM GHANA**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	This paper deals with an interesting topic. It obtains clear results that can be easily applied. However, the whole paper showed a lack of care regarding references/edition/Figures/Tables: they are spread along the paper without following any noticeable rule. Sometimes the expression *et al.* is used in italics and sometimes is not; also the author of references is sometimes followed with a comma and sometimes is not. The same happens with figures and tables that appear split into two pages, or that show a column with the text centred while the one that is beside is aligned to one side (Table 1). This must be corrected before next review.	The references have been corrected in the list and in the text.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The paper can be easily improved if its edition is done precisely and following general academic standards. Once this is done, there are some additional improvements that have to be done regarding methodology and results/conclusion discussion.	