



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_26043
Title of the Manuscript:	Tweedie Model for Analyzing Zero-Inflated Continuous Response: An Application to Job Training Data
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	• Authors may need to justify why they have used a historical data (1995-1998) and how these results will contribute to contemporary research and knowledge. • Nominal / demographic variables such as age, gender, education, race, ..etc.. might be considered as moderators (moderating variables), the authors have noted in lines 60 and 68 that such variables are used as "mediators" in this case, authors are asked to justify how these variables are tested as mediators. • In discussions part, authors refer to significant impact of job training program on future earning, (L 194-195), in this case, authors might need to present the statistical test that prove this finding.	• We have now included one short paragraph explaining why historic data were used in the study (please see the 2nd paragraph in the discussion chapter) highlighted into blue. • We did not consider all the variables such as age, gender, education, race and marital status as mediators. Marital status was the only mediator used in the analysis. We have added a sentence explaining why the marital status was considered as mediator (please see the last sentence of the section 2.5 highlighted in blue). • We have now included the odds ratio and confidence interval in the discussion chapter. If the confidence interval does not include 1.00, it implies that the odds ratio is statistically different from 1.00 (please see the discussion chapter highlighted in blue).
Minor REVISION comments	• L.15 authors refer to unemployment rate in 2012, they might need to update the year, was it a global rate or related to US only? • It seems that there is interruption by the end of line 75, should be corrected. • Table 2 needs to be rearranges, title and the width of the last column. On the other hand, that table integrally should be in one page (kindly check tables 2 and 4)	• This indicates the global unemployment rate. However, the recent data is not available. • Sorry. It was not an interruption. All those methods were numbered appropriately. It was due to different formatting in your journal. We now notice that all the numbers have been disappeared. We have now corrected this part (please see the



SDI Review Form 1.6

	• It would be much better when the comment is coming immediately after or before the table, in this case, lines 141-146 that presented comments related to table 3 shouldn't be before table 2. • Authors are advices to enrich the article by reporting conclusion and recommendation, also implications for future research.	- section 2.6 highlighted in blue). • The table 2 already been rearranged as per your suggestion. • All the tables have been presented as per your suggestion. • The conclusion and recommendation chapter has been added following your suggestion (please see the conclusion and recommendation chapter highlighted in blue)
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	• Authors are advices to review some recent references. • The article presented some important keywords that might need to be illustrated by a short literature review and/or logical framework.	• We have included some recent references as you suggested. • A short literature review has been given in the introduction chapter highlighted in blue.