



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_25985
Title of the Manuscript:	Organizational Structure and Choice of Technology: Analysis of Dual Relations
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The reviewer suggested that the nature of structure of university is different from general firm by its goal setting. A university is not a profit-driven organization, while general firms aims to making profit. So I suggest that taking general firm as examples is better than taking universities as an example.	1. The author is quite agree that the commercial nature of the university is quite different, but in the present paper the focus is on the role of the "informational" resource in production, and this aspect is very important for universities. Thus, the example of the university seems to be an important part of the paper.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	2. The structure of section of introduction should be well-structured to be clear to present the research questions. 3. The reviewer suggested that key concepts, such as resources, social technology, operatives, minimizer, compatibility, institutional change, should be arranged logically in this article.	2. The Introduction is rewritten. 3. The paper is restructured and now seems to be more transparent.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. The reviewer suggested that others resources should be also considered, such as non-physical resources (brand resources, cultural resources, et al.)	1. To make the theoretical model more clear the author uses the term "physical resources", however any kind of resources may be used in the production function under consideration.