



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_25947
Title of the Manuscript:	The asymmetry of price in the petroleum products market of Ukraine
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
There is no answer to the reviewer's question: "The author did not show clearly and precisely how it compares factors for results It remains unclear explicitly how the data were obtained It is unclear on what basis the author considers additive factors..." Missing also the answer to the question: "The results should be checked for autocorrelation, for example, using the Durbin-Watson statistic." Instead, the author, in fact, follows the text of the article. In this form, without answers to questions of the reviewer, the article does not make sense to publish	In this article the term «factor» found 3 times. Yet in only the context of the analysis of problems and problem statement. This term actually can be reformulated as the source of this phenomenon as asymmetry prices. On this subject there is a huge literature, partly mentioned in this article, we are simply used mainstream in this area. As for the results, we consider the reviewer comments and make significant additions to the text to explain how they are received and interpreted. Respecting the opinion of the reviewer and high intellectual achievement Durbin & Watson's , we believe, however, that this criterion can not be applied to this problem because of the nonlinearity of the potential regressive dependence and procedural method of evaluating deviations. Finally, the authors would like to thank to the reviewer for comments that have contributed for the paper and made it more reasonable.