SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJEMT_25634**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Central Limit Theorem to Approximate Aggregate Risk of Portfolio**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The author presented a fairly complex to understand and a strange story. Consideration is limited only by the theoretical model, there is no reference to the specific data there.**	1. **Referee should notice that this paper is only an extension of an example of Vose which exists in modelrisk software. Data comes from ther as it is explained in paper.**
Minor REVISION comments	**Author of the need to check their position by applying to the randomly selected experimental values.**	**I think I have done it.**
Optional/General comments	**In addition, it is desirable to bring the article to the generally accepted view, highlighting the sections "Materials and methods" and "Discussion"**	**I added an discussion section. Ok.**