



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_25634
Title of the Manuscript:	Central Limit Theorem to Approximate Aggregate Risk of Portfolio
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Suggest review paper structure again. The paper should include Introduction, Theory Analysis, Application/Example/Analysis, Conclusion/Summary and etc. several part. The paper seems short and brief. The author could consider to add some relevant contents.	Yes, the referee is right. However, please notice that this paper involves a note. You know. I portfolio management field, there is many strong works. However, I found this note and wrote it. This is why, it is too short. Also, it insists on the use of ModelRisk software. Also, notice that it extends an example of Vose.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	-All figure names should be below the relevant figure. Please modify the position of title of figure 1 to figure 3. -Suggest mark relevant reference number in the paper so as to let readers check and read easily.	Ok, I did it.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		