SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJEMT_25528**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Evaluation of Airports Traffic Systems in Nigeria**
Type of the Article	**Original research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The main objective of this manuscript is to evaluate the capacity of 10 airports in Nigeria. I think the topic is interesting and the paper is well structured. The minor revision comments are described as follows.	
Minor REVISION comments	● In line 96, page 3, the author cited Atkinson and Primont (2002), in fact, the purpose of that article is discussed how to estimate firm's technology, inefficiency, and productivity growth. Panel data on US electric utilities are used as empirical study. In other words, it seems to be unrelated to airport. ● Some articles cited in text are missing in Reference list, for example: 1. IATA (1997), cited in line 33, page 1. 2. TRB (2009), cited in line 90, page 3. 3. Oni (2014), cited in line 432, page 18. 4. Ashford et al. (1997), cited in line 109, p. 4.	All noted and Corrected as advised.
Optional/General comments		