



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_25336
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study to Explore the Role of Entrepreneurship Regarding Sustainable Livelihood Strategies
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 16-17 the dimensions are too vague and large Line 29 can you cite more current source, as you are talking about last decade Line 31 please avoid the shorting Line 37 please cite some of the previous studies Line 46-49 this is empirical so try support with source Line 70-72 the reason for the choice of the study area is not clear enough Line 76-77 please provide some previous studies talking about here. Line 123 i suggest data from WHO on life expectancy of various countries with relations to the study area will be more meaningful if added here Line 420 it appears all the cases involves people who have retired from formal form of employment. in this case the entrepreneurship should be intrapreneurship. for usual entrepreneurs do not have a fixed retire age... Line 499 please support with a theory or theoretical base.	The dimensions have been made clear. Current sources have been cited. Some previous studies have been cited. Supported with previous study. Reason for the choice of study area have been made clear. Provided a previous study. The suggestion have been taken into consideration regarding entrepreneurship and intrapreneurship.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In general, the study is relevant, but the case studies are related, hence it can be reduce to about four, the eight are too much for one research work.	Case studies have been reduced from eight to the minimum number possible.