



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_25328
Title of the Manuscript:	CURRENCY DEVALUATION AND FISCAL ADJUSTMENT: Empirical Evidence from Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Several questions arise when reading this article. First, why the authors chose a model of linear superposition of parameters, because then you have to assume that these factors are additive.	Each of these parameters has their respective influence on the dependent variable. So it is important they are considered in the model to make it parsimonious
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Secondly, it is not clear, the data refer to the country and its currency, or can be extended to other countries and their currencies.	This study is strictly based on Nigeria economy.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Third, it is desirable to bring the article to the standard view by typing, for example, the section "Discussion"	Since it is article and not a project given the limited space, i think the discussions are embedded in the empirical results as presented.