



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_25142
Title of the Manuscript:	International Trade Structure Change and determinants in Laos, 2000-2014
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The authors did not read very carefully the comments and suggestions, which seems to be an incorrect. For example, I called the attention that the manuscript referred Kouparitsas (2006) when, in fact, it was Baxter & Kouparitsas. This error has not been eliminated. It was also not eliminated the error in Anne et al. (2009), when it had been noted that it was Disdier et al. (2009). In fact, almost all references are mentioned using the first and last name, which should obviously be avoided, as is common practice in a scientific article. The authors mention that the Hausman test was used, as had been recommended. I would like to have seen the result of this test.	1. Maybe I misunderstood the right format of the literature. With reference to the comments of another reviewer, he/she suggested that "Christina Davis, Andreas Fuuchs, and Kristina Johnson (2014) should be changed to Davis et al. (2014)." Then, I thought that if there are more than one authors, I should use "et al" and revised all the literatures according to my understanding. Now, I think that maybe if there are more than two authors, "et al" should be used, but if there are only two authors, the first name of the two authors should be listed. I revised all the literatures again, see highlight words in the manuscript. 2. In the manuscript I sent, I have changed Anne et al to Disdier et al, so I do not understand my error. I wonder if it is right or wrong ? 3. The results of Hausman test that given by STATA11.0 are all listed in the manuscript.