



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_25142
Title of the Manuscript:	International Trade Structure Change and determinants in Laos, 2000-2014
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article lacks some explanation. For example, the author has not explained why, for the consideration of selected econometric and, in essence, a phenomenological model to describe the situation. Generally speaking, the conceptual model provides more knowledge about the subject matter.	1. I added a paragraph to explain panel data regression model, see high light lines279-313. 2. Actually, I used hausman test to test whether fixed or random effects is appropriate, the results showed fixed effects model should be chosen, but I forgot to write it down in the manuscript. Now, I have added relevant contents, see high light lines 394-399, 448-453.
Minor REVISION comments	It is unclear what caused the selection of appropriate indexes to study occurring economic processes.	I added a paragraph to explain variables selection, see high light lines315-345.
Optional/General comments	In addition, it is unclear findings characterize the situation in one country, or can be assigned to the states of the region	