

Reviewer's comments:

The author needs to explain how he or she addresses all the issues raised. I observed that no serious revision is done on the paper when I went through it.

Authors' feedback:

All revisions done on the observations are highlighted in yellow colour. The observation on incomplete statements as in line 42, 65-66 and that of the choice of tenses such as line 81-85 have been revised. The method of referencing has been addressed. The usage of 'et al' for two names as in line 119 has been revised. Prior list of the names that appear et al such as line 126 has been done. The method of estimation has been changed to ECM and the justification for the use of the estimation technique has been given. A clear objective to be achieved in this paper has been established.

Results and Discussion

A descriptive statistics has been carried out on the variables and the method of estimation has been changed to ECM which provides a more convincing result. Therefore the interpretations of the results are now clear and conventional.

Conclusion

Since the results cum methodology have been changed, the conclusion drawn from the previous results has been adjusted to reflect a change methodology cum results obtained. Please check.