



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_24728
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Financial Efficiency and Constraints of Smallholder Cotton Farmers in the Northern Region of Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Data are collected before 2010, they are too old to give results about 2016.	I am not sure if the data is old for publication. This research is in two parts, the first part has already been published in January 2016. However, several other research papers have been published using cross-sectional data which about 8 years old or more. Eg. The Adoption and Impact of Soil and Water Conservation Technology: An Endogenous Switching Regression Application <u>Awudu Abdulai</u> , and <u>Wallace Huffman</u> . Data was collected in 2006 and published in 2014 in land economics journal. There are other examples of such cases.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		