



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_24589
Title of the Manuscript:	Urban Tourism in Jordan: Challenges and Opportunities Case Study: Amman
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The title of this manuscript arouses interest of the reader and it is a contemporary one given the fact that tourism is the way to go when it is compared with mining and agriculture. Generally the language is acceptable though with few areas the author need to revise. However, the author should attend to the following: 1. The abstract does not mention methodology and results for this study. 2. The research design which should come under the heading material and methods is not included. 3. Map on p.5 should have a caption and a source if not created by the author. 4. Line 155: the population of Jordan is estimated at 6,508, 271. Line 156 estimates the population to jump from 2,8m by 2025. The author should clarify this discrepancy. 5. Fig 1. It not created by the author, a source is necessary. 6. Lines 235; 236; 237; 239; and 240 should be qualified and not just written neutrally. 7. Line 152. "And" should not begin a new sentence 8. Referencing in the text should be aligned as required by the Journal style e.g [1] or [2] replacing the names. 9. Reference: The author should adapt the whole list to the Journal style as what he / she wrote does not comply at all.	1. We wrote the methodology in the abstract and the main result. 2. We added a part for the methodology 3. We wrote the source below the map. 4. Jordan population is 6,508, 271. It is about the whole of the country (Jordan). The population to jump from 2,8 by 2025 is only about the city of Amman the capital. 5. We wrote the source below the figure. 6. From our point of view it is so better. 7. We did it in sentences 8,9. We wrote all the references as you told us.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	10. Acknowledgements: This section should be included 11. Competing Interests: This section should be included	10. There is no real help from any one to put for him Acknowledgements. 11. COMPETING INTERESTS Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Keywords: I suggest the author includes the following: tourism destination; accommodation; destination marketing; tourism development; cultural-heritage sites. The author should replace Jordan; Amman; challenges and opportunities.	Keywords: We replaced them to: Key words: Urban tourism, tourism destination; accommodation; destination marketing; tourism development; cultural-heritage sites.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		