



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_24469
Title of the Manuscript:	Financial Liberalization and Rural Financial Landscape: Study of Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	• Bibliography needs to be in alphabetical order. • Needs better referencing. Some research has been quoted in the text but not included in the bibliography: Anyanwu et al., 1998; Levine, 1997; • Section 2.4 on page 9: is it "conclusive" or "inconclusive"? the section needs paraphrasing anyway. • Needs editing.	References arranged in alphabetical order Missing references added Paraphrased (see section 2.4)
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	• It is suggested to use present tense rather than past tense. Examples; "The study was aimed at evaluating" should read "the study aims at ..." "The study recommended" should read "the study recommends ..." etc... • Financial factors and measurements on page 5 may be better presented if put in a box rather than as part of the text, especially that they are quotes from other papers.	Done (see abstract) Done (see abstract) Done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		