



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_24230
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Integration Problem of Turkey: An Evaluation about Shanghai Cooperation Organization within the Frame of Alternative Searches
Type of Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
1. All my previous comments are in effect. In particular, the 3rd version contains mentioned troubles with the Table 1. 2. The obvious mistake in methodology is to consider Turkey's membership in EU and SCO as the alternatives. The current reality is to provide multi-vector integration policy for most of countries. 3. As the authors write, 'economic integrations bring statistical and dynamic effects on a country's economy' (line 93). However, no calculation of those effects has emerged during the paper. We find only vague sketch as a reason to compare different variants of integration. 4. Discussing the probable contribution to different economic associations and mutual economic effects, the authors base their conclusions on Democracy Index. So, they would explain how that Index correlates with economic growth. My opinion about the paper has not changed.	1- Explanations about Table 1 has been added and examples are given as footnotes. 2- Necessary EU harmonization processes for Turkey continue; and a text is added to explain that Turkey's membership could happen if EU's and Turkey's interests and standards met. 3- Literature has been added for the statement "economic integrations bring statistical and dynamic effects on a country's economy" . 4- The relation between economy index and growth has been added as literature.