



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_24230
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Integration Problem of Turkey: An Evaluation about Shangai Cooperation Organization within the Frame of Alternative Searches
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Some troubles with the Table 1. Equal rules for every nation? Can the author give an example of at least one rule that should be equal for every nation? It's an example of demagoguery Why 'Old Regionalism' is government based? The most ancient form of regionalism, a trade agreement, was based on private actors' activities and didn't exist beyond them. 2. Lines 249-250: Turkey has problems with Russia, Pakistan has some problems with India. What does it mean: Russia and India put some barriers to building a market economy in ECO member countries? Or may be they impeded ECO's trade mechanisms? That statement needs to make it clear. 3. Lines 518-522: The author uses unscientific reasons to prove his conjectures. While religious similarity and ethnical homogeneity are the criteria of integration success, the most successful mentioned integration would be the Organization of the Islamic Conference, and the less successful – WTO. Can't you notice that something's wrong with it? 4. Lines 603-604: Does the author expect that Turkey will play a great role in EU ? It pays so much efforts to join it ☺)	1- Information about Old Regionalization and New Regionalization has been added. Lines: between 171-176 2- Expansion of ECO is a big step; however, the economic policy of mutual relations in integration movements is also important. There are controversies between the countries in the area. The problems between Turkey and Russia and between Pakistan and India are against ECO's goals to be a regional economic cooperation organization and its expansion targets; because the fact that Russia and India are in the same region is contrary to ECO's characteristics of being regional. Lines: 265-268 3- Reviewer's critics on this matter are correct. However, as the leading countries regarding the establishment of SCO Russia and China do not see SCO as just an economic organization and moreover they set a political and diplomatic target to isolate the western effects on member countries and the countries in the area. In this regard, religious and ethnical homogeneity increases importance. Thus, comparing SCO with WTO, which is only an economic organization, would not be correct. Comparing it with EU instead of WTO would be more accurate.



SDI Review Form 1.6

		4- If Turkey becomes a member of EU it will be the only country which differs from other member countries in terms of religion. This would also justify the comment #3. However, Turkey's membership process is not at the phase to justify this. Line: 525-526
Minor REVISION comments	The word Shanghai in the title must be corrected.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		