



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_24230
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Integration Problem of Turkey: An Evaluation about Shangai Cooperation Organization within the Frame of Alternative Searches
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Overall, the paper suffers from serious deficiencies that are summarized as follows: 1. Poorly written and at times the message is not clear. In particular, the abstract is incoherent and it seems as if it has been translated using an electronic translation program. 2. The paper is simply a literature review with no methodology and stated purpose. As such, the paper does not add any value to the literature on this topic. 3. No real policy recommendations are included. 4. The bibliography is inadequate. First, it is not in alphabetical order. Second, the format is inconsistent with varying styles. Third, a number of quoted names were used in the body of the paper but not included in the bibliography such as C.P. Kindleberger; Tingerbren; Sanli 2004; Celik 2013; Bugun 2015; Yildirim 2005)	1- The language of the paper has been checked and the abstract has been enriched. Lines: 28-36 2- Methodology and purpose in introductory chapter has been rewritten more clearly. Lines: 61-64 3 New additions to the suggestions have been added. Especially the fact that Turkey should proceed with adjustment laws for the EU criteria has been stated. Lines:605-611 4- References section has been checked 5- Correction for the alphabetical order of the references has been done. 6- References in different languages have checked and their translations into English language have been done and corrected. 7- English language of the paper has been checked.



SDI Review Form 1.6

		8- References and bibliography in the paper have been checked and necessary corrections have been done.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		