



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_24191
Title of the Manuscript:	A new economic thinking for the age of space exploration
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	-2 equation in part 2 suggest cut (2.1) & (2.2) remark and change to the behind of equation with remark (1) & (2) directly. -All Figures remark suggest use Fig. 1, Fig. 2,...Fig. 8 directly.	I would like to take this opportunity to thank the reviewer for taking time to read my paper and giving suggestions to improve it. I have cut Equations .. as is suggested. All Figures remarks are changed to Fig. 1, Fig. 2,...Fig. 8 directly.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		