



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_22685
Title of the Manuscript:	PUBLIC SPENDING FOR GROWTH - INDUCED EMPLOYMENT: THE NIGERIAN EXPERIENCE
Type of the Article	Original research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Section 2 (RECENT STUDIES IN RELATED FIELD) should be carefully revised. It contains a literature survey, but it is not written in a critical way.	Agreed, the review has been analyzed critically and the implications for the present study drawn.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Recent papers should be added: i.e., Magazzino C., (2013), Revenue and Expenditure Nexus: A Case Study of ECOWAS, <i>Economics: The Open-Access</i> , 7, 2013-13, April	Recent studies were included to boost the review. Indeed, this has added value to this work.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Some typos should be fixed and the editing should follow the BJEMT authors' guidelines.	It is surprising to find such large number of typos on further proof-reading. I have been meticulous in correcting the typing errors.