



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_22685
Title of the Manuscript:	PUBLIC SPENDING FOR GROWTH - INDUCED EMPLOYMENT: THE NIGERIAN EXPERIENCE
Type of the Article	Original research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The author(s) should: 1. Rewrite the abstract 2. List key words to at least 5 words 3. Check the JEL codes properly 4. Caption Section 2 as Literature Review and separate theoretical literature from empirical literature. Also, provide a clear theoretical framework for the research. 5. Rework Section 3 completely. Link model to the relevant theory or theories underpinning the relationship between/among variables with dates. 6. Merge summary of findings with Section 5. 7. Separate discussion of result into a different section from conclusion and policy implication. The paper lacks innovation.	Reviewer's suggestions were considered as important contributions for the improvement of the quality of this article. The corrections have been effected most religiously.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		