SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJEMT_21773**
Title of the Manuscript:	**THE LONG AND SHORT RUN DETERMINANTS OF PRIVATE SECTOR INVESTMENT IN GHANA, 1970-2011**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment***(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author examinedlong and short run determinants of private sector investment in Ghana, 1970-2011not the impact of long and short run determinants of private sector investment in Ghana, 1970-2011 **Suggestion** Impact of the Long and Short Run Determinants of Private Sector Investment on Ghanaian Economy, 1970-2011	
Minor REVISION comments	The author is advised to make below corrections: 1. Abstract is normally written in past tense. And/or it shows: (i) the overall objectives,; (ii) source of data; (iii) method of analysis; (iv) findings; & (v) recommendations). 2. Introduction needs to be based mainly on personal language and cites an author who had a similar idea. 3. The introduction of literature review doesn't matter since it is not thesis but a paper publication. And literature review is based on past authors' work.Beaudry et al 2001)—the authors must be cited completely before subsequently et. *al.* The literature review of the study needs to be expanded to substantiate the work. 4. Page 3 under Data & model specification, "the research work uses" instead of used. 5. In page 4 under Data Analysis & Estimate Procedure, the Study first investigates instead	Corrected Corrected Corrected Corrected

	investigated. 6. The Eview 5.0 package is almost outdated. Please henceforth go for higher version of Eview. 7. In page 7, the last paragraph, finally instead of last but not least. Still in this paragraph exchange rate has instead of had. 8. Table 3 is not cleared how it was obtained, was it from Eview 5.0 or Microfit, over-parameterization model first. 9. Under summary of findings—the study investigates instead investigated. And the summary is too long. 10. The policy recommendations need to be itemized. 11. Some authors cited by the author in the work are too old. Please cite relatively recent authors. 12. What type of reference is this, APA style or Vancouver style. 13. I suppose that Vancouver style is used in BJEMT. If that is true, then the mode of the reference for the work has to change—by numbering according to citation in the body of the works.	Agreed Corrected Corrected Corrected Corrected Corrected
Optional/Generalcomments	In general, the paper and its model are scientific, logical and novel. And there are detailed data in this paper.	Thank You