



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_19852
Title of the Manuscript:	Corporate Governance and Business Ethics structural failures for Kingdom Bank Africa Limited (KBAL) Botswana
Type of the Article	Scientific study – Business and Management

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comments regarding manuscript entitled: Corporate governance and business ethics structural failure for Kingdom Bank Africa Limited (KBAL) Botswana. The following are observations regarding the aforementioned manuscript: 1. I am not sure if restating lines 14 to 16 in your abstract as follows conveys your intention. If not, please restate that section to be clearer. "The study also revealed that there was no effective remuneration and incentive schemes for key executives and middle management with reference to best corporate governance practice". 2. I think you expunge "In many developing economies," and start line 15 with Corporate..... 3. In research, endeavour to provide sources of your citations and assertions. For instance provide source for your claims that ended in line 24 central banks (source). Line 31 community at large (source). Line 59 parent bank (source). Line 65	1. Suggestion adopted 2. Suggestion Adopted 3. The comments are not assertive, but rather speculative using words like seem etc, incorporating the Authors review. The Author has however modified certain sentences to enable



SDI Review Form 1.6

	central bank (source). Line 84 wealthy effects (source). Line 87 principals and agents (source). 4. Typically, in text citation should be names of authors and not web site address. Please your in text citation of web addresses from lines 44 to 55 is strange. Please contact the Journal publication team to abreast yourself of the best way of in text citation and listing of references. 5. In line 52, I suggest of commercial banks after..... 6. In line 63, I suggest practices seems evidently..... 7. In line 99, I suggest professional and researchers' bias. 8. In line 119, I suggest ...that there were no..... 9. Appropriately title your tables to evidence specific investigation. 10. No results in your work to support discussion on lines 127 to 129: "Results also depicted that the other reason that contributed to the collapsing of KBAL were insider and non-performing loans extended to top executives and serial debtors".	citations : Line 24 : Amended - source Line 31 : Amended - source Line 59 : Amended – source Line 65 : NO Amendment: Speculative after deductive statement previously quoted Line 84 : Amended – source Line 87 : NO Amendment – source already cited 4. No Amendment : The author is aware of the journal referencing, and has made requisite citation in compliance thereof. There are only 2 web sources whose authors are anonymous 5. Line 52 : Amended – Banks 6. Line 63 : Amended – deleted “was” 7. Line 99 : Amended – ‘s 8. Line 119 : Amended – there 9. Tables : No amendments 10. See results from Respondents.
--	---	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	11. I am not sure whether your conclusions on lines 142 to 145 have results to back it up. Please let your discussions and conclusions be in the context of your analyses. 12. Please ensure your analyses and attendant discussions are clearer. 13. Inconsistency and poor listing of references. Please, contact the Journal Administration. 13. Ensure to read through to minimize possible spelling and grammatical errors.	11. See Results from Respondents Table 1 and Table 3. 12. Further Clarifications were effected
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		