



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_19852
Title of the Manuscript:	Corporate Governance and Business Ethics structural failures for Kingdom Bank Africa Limited (KBAL) Botswana
Type of the Article	Scientific study – Business and Management

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Africa. Material and methodology The authors inform they have applied an instrument to 27 respondents. However, such an instrument could be presented in details, exploring its validity issues in order to show whether the questions really gauge the concept they were intended to do so. Results and discussion The explanation of instrument, described in the former topic, is relevant to give the reader the link to the results. In the line 117, the authors posit the insolvency was drove by the “fail to adhere to the corporate governance” what would be taken from the “majority” of respondents. However, the authors have to deeply explain the part of the tables that allows such a finding / conclusion. Also in the discussion, the authors have to discuss the findings of the paper, comparing them to the reviewed literature and to the theoretical framework. Conclusion In the conclusion, the authors allege “... were the major causes of insolvency”. However, it is hardly recommendable to clarify the source of this conclusion from the findings and from the tables, giving it the link it to its evidences.	Material and methodology No its not 27. Instruments applied to 52 Sample frame population Results and Discussions Instruments have been described fully, please refer to text Tables have been re – titled, and simplified Discussions of findings have been explained fully for a journal publication, also in line with other published articles in this journal, which were used as a guide Conclusions No allegations – these are research findings gathered from the instruments . Additional discussions have been made References
--	---	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	• References list It is necessary to make a revision on the references list in order to check whether all the items are presented, e.g. Laiho (?). • Grammar issues It seems the text could be grammar reviewed to avoid certain little mistakes that happen when every author is excited to conclude the writing of manuscript.	There are 26 numbered References Grammar issues Perhaps this is general statement, I have proof read
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		