



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_19763
Title of the Manuscript:	Bitcoin: main trends and perspectives*
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Authors should be defined more precisely in terms of the choice of the genre of the article. If the article is a review, then, along with the more detailed description of the method of forming the most famous cryptocurrency expedient to describe and methods for producing other cryptocurrency. It is also important to indicate how they differ from each other.	Review type, elaborated.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The article explained enough cryptocurrency unlike traditional, it is not represented in accuracy than is provided by each of cryptocurrency.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Paper should lead to the generally accepted view, by entering into it, for example, the section "Discussion"	Reviewed according to example