



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJEMT_16955
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing and Mapping the Supply Chain of Pineapple Production in Ghana
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract: The abstract is well written with all the relevant information. Introduction: Give a brief account or background information for what you want to address by clearly introducing the problem statement of your research work. The way you present your introduction is more of literature review. The introduction should include the following: 1. Give brief introduction of Ghana in terms of geographical location, population, contribution of agriculture to GDP, why the research was needed, context of the research and objectives which was clearly mentioned at the bottom of the introduction from line 54 -58. Figure 2.1: The figure is not clear, revamp on it. Figure 2.2: The information is out date far beyond two decades from 1987-2008 (20 years). The author needs to update statistical data between 5-10 years. Find out there is an improvement in the export trade. Figure 2.3: The contrast of the image is not clear and the writings are not succinctly show cased. The author needs to modify the image for the benefits of the readers. Methodology: It is well presented and has factored all the information. Results and Discussion: This section is somehow weak because the information is scanty and should present more of statistical results rather	Thanks for the observation The suggestions had been done Figure 2.1 has been redrawn to make it more legible Figure 2.2 has been updated with current Statistics Figure 2.2 has been replaced. Discussion section has been modified slightly. Given the focus of our work which is to graphically map SC configuration for pineapple production



SDI Review Form 1.6

	than narrative. Since the author collected primary data I expect him to inject more data figures. Make comparison of your results to related studies conducted by other scholars in the field of research. Having done that, it will improve the quality of your manuscript. Conclusion and recommendation: Apart from the production activities to final consumer as concluded what other information your research have depicted in terms of constraints and solutions. Recommendation is quiet is ok. References: The author needs to thoroughly cross-check the reference because some references are in the text but not in the reference list vis-à-vis. Let him take an account of all the reference in the text and cross check one by one in the reference table.	in the Ghanaian context. Again this work is purely qualitative, we did not presented any statistical result We agree and have rectify all those ones, those that has to be deleted is deleted and those that has to be inserted is done. Thank you.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It can be a good paper provided he has taken all the comments on board.	