



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_13595
Title of the Manuscript:	AN ASSESSMENT OF ICT AS A TOOL FOR EFFECTIVE SERVICE DELIVERY IN THE NIGERIAN LOCAL GOVERNMENT SYSTEM: A STUDY OF ETSAKO WEST LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF EDO STATE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The paper is significant in driving the efficiency in service delivery in Nigerian Local Government system. However, the field survey is outdated since 2011. More current survey will have revealed a better result for the study. In view of the International acceptance and merits of this Journal, the author is advised to revisit the following: 1. Methodology is missing in the body of the abstract; and 2. Read through the manuscript again correcting all typographical errors, and phrases.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Nigeria