



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_8425
Title of the Manuscript:	Monitoring Recruitment and Selection Practice: A Therapeutic Strategy for Quality Service Delivery in Nigeria Public Universities
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper needs a section that presents the methodology used. Also, to improve the work, collecting primary data would be important to support the conclusions presented.	
Minor REVISION comments	The conclusion drawn in the line 219 has no scientific support within the work and uses inappropriate language.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anderson Catapan
Department, University & Country	Pontifical Catholic University of Parana, Brazil