



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_21973
Title of the Manuscript:	Quality measurement methods in public sector organisations
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The title suggests that the manuscript is about quality measurement methods. The abstract suggests that the aim of the research is to determine whether these organisations measure quality and how often. Line 32 suggests that the aim of the research is to determine whether organisations measure quality and if so what tools are being used. Since the content of the manuscript does not discuss different kinds of quality measurement methods. The title of the manuscript needs to be brought in line with the aim of the research. The aim also needs to be consistent. Line 33 refers to 102 organisations whereas line 94 refers to 110 organisations. The manuscript needs serious language editing – I suggest it is submitted for professional language editing.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	It is practice that the caption of a table is at the top and a figure is at the bottom. This manuscript has its captions for the figures at the top.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Quality measurement is an important aspect for any organisation and in recent years have become important in the public sector as well. The authors will do well to explore the New Public Management performance budgeting system in addition to the research conducted.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	L J Erasmus
Department, University & Country	Tshwane University of Technology, South Africa