



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_8425
Title of the Manuscript:	Monitoring Recruitment and Seleciton Practice: A Therapeutic Strategy for Quality Service Delivery in Nigeria Public Universities
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper is seriously ambitious and should therefore endeavour to: i. Expand the introduction, ii. Conceptualize university education in Nigeria, quality service delivery, recruitment and selection processes (as they concerned university working ecology, iii. Present data on the available public universities in Nigeria, iv. Itemise the advantages of monitored and disadvantages of unmonitored recruitment/selection of personnel into Nigerian public universities (which should even be the major target of this paper).	i. The level of introduction provided so far is adequate for the work. ii. University education in Nigeria and other elements mentioned here are adequately embedded through additional literature review. iii. Certain generic data concerning the operations of Nigerian public universities including University of Calabar were captured in this work. iv. Disadvantages of unmonitored recruitment and selection are clearly shown in various paragraphs of conclusions while advantages are in recommendations. Author used paragraphs in the place of itemizing the points.
	i. The word 'Selection' in the title of the paper is not well spelt, ii. Word that is not fundamental to the paper like therapeutic, Strategy, and Nigeria, should be expunged from the Key Words. Furthermore, <i>Nigeria</i> should be attached to Public Universities i.e. Nigerian Public Universities, and iii. Citations and references should be written in	i. Correction effected in the title of the paper ii. The three words were expunged from the key words. Also, Nigerian was added to public universities. iii. Citation and references were written based on the requirements of this journal.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	consonance with the contemporary APA Style like, Ojonemi (2013:1) said...	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Please clarify the ethical issue.	