



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_8425
Title of the Manuscript:	Monitoring Recruitment and Seleciton Practice: A Therapeutic Strategy for Quality Service Delivery in Nigeria Public Universities
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Themes and issues contained in this paper are interesting. This work is labelled as original research paper. However, looking in structure of manuscript, there is no part of research. Thus, this work is like report that isn't based on results of research.	The expanded literature review and institutional visitations as well as contacts enhanced generation of relevant data necessary for some form of research judgements and conclusions.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The theoretical part and conclusion must be supported with the part of the research results.	This was not done since no hypothesis was formulated and tested in this paper.