SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_BJEMT_8425**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Monitoring Recruitment and Selection Practice: A Therapeutic Strategy for Quality Service Delivery in Nigeria Public Universities**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The paper needs a section that presents the methodology used.** **Also, to improve the work, collecting primary data would be important to support the conclusions presented.**	A section that presents the methodology used is presented. The paper mostly used secondary data. The primary data used were gathered through observation method.
Minor REVISION comments	**The conclusion drawn in the line 219 has no scientific support within the work and uses inappropriate language.**	Judgements made on the basis of relevant data from literature and observations can as well be accepted in this kind of research paper. No inappropriate language is left in this work.
Optional/General comments		