



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_23135
Title of the Manuscript:	Tourism Police for Bosnia and Herzegovina: Practical Recommendations
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	References should be given number list in the manuscript so as to let readers check and read easily. And the number should also be given in the references list.	I totally agree but the references were listed alphabetically at the end of the manuscript as mentioned in the SDI paper template. But if it is still wanted as you said, the references can be given number list in the manuscript.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		