



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_23135
Title of the Manuscript:	Tourism Police for Bosnia and Herzegovina: Practical Recommendations
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There are mistakes in ligatures, such as lines 218, 229, 230, 236, 239, 244~250, 302 ... Tourism police unit or tourist police unit ?	The mistakes in ligatures in lines 218, 229, 230, 236, 239, 244~250, 302 and for the whole manuscript are revised, checked and corrected. Both tourism police unit and tourist police unit are used in related literature but in this article the term "tourism police unit" is preferred. But you are right as the term "tourist police unit" were used three times in this article. They are changed into "tourism police unit" for the unity of concepts.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The research topic has practical value. The Abstract and Conclusion are good. I considered the paper appropriate for this journal, and should be published after minor correction.	