



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_22730
Title of the Manuscript:	Structure and dynamic of economy and its links with population well-being in Burkina Faso from 1990 to 2015
Type of the Article	Original research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Too much of this paper is incoherent and lacking in focus and structure. The literature review rambles between different subjects without a clear explanation of what is trying to be achieved. No credible conceptual framework is provided and, hence, no hypotheses which could be formally tested. The methodology lacks clarity and, hence, credibility. There is insufficient connection between the methodology and the subsequent analysis. The discussion is not convincing. Every section of the paper requires considerable improvement.	The comments of reviewer are very relevant. Given this weakness, we review the overall content of the document by making it more focus on the subject. The references have been updated.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		