



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_22730
Title of the Manuscript:	Structure and dynamic of economy and its links with population well-being in Burkina Faso from 1990 to 2015
Type of the Article	Original research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1990-2009 is old data. Must update to 2014 Or 2015 - Must do corrections in abstract as be comments given - Must update sources of reference-at least 5yrs old except for theories citations - Statement from other writers must be referenced - Any statistical figures cited must be referenced - Abbreviations to be written in full first then us abbreviations later - Sources of references for tables and figures are missing ✚ A well-developed paper.The comments given must however be addressed ✚ Talking about economic growth, most recent data and scope coverage to date is necessary because economies are dynamic not static The biggest weakness in this paper is referencing the sources and use of too old sources of reference. This nullifies the recommendations made for implementation by Burkina Faso government and other stake holders	The comments of reviewer are very relevant. I updated period to 2015 and the data according the new period. All the comments given have been addressed. The document content was generally reviewed including the methodology and different data sources have been specified. The references have been updated to the extent possible.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	If APA style of referencing is recommended, then table titles must be above and figure title below	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The writer to do thorough editing on this paper	