



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_21723
Title of the Manuscript:	Foreign Direct Investment and Economic Growth in Botswana. A Dynamic Causality Test.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Very interesting paper, short and to the point. However, in the discussion section no real effort is made to relate the evidence to the theoretical section of the paper You do not distinguish between different types of FDI. I would have like to know the role of Chinese and Southafrican investments How do your results relate to other studies of the bi-causality relation between fdi & economic growth?	• Agreed. Incorporated under conclusion as recommendation for further research. • Agreed. Done.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In list of references: Lensink instead of Lensin p. 6 significance instead of significances (two times)	• Agreed. Corrected