



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_20524
Title of the Manuscript:	Managing costs for Iraq’s Reconstruction and Recovery
Type of Article	

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR’S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors’ response to final evaluator’s comments
I think author(s) has/have positively responded to some of the observations I made in my earlier review comments. However, the methodology is still not as I have thought it should be. I must confess that I have never seen where methodology is written this way. I was thinking authors will write something like: ...the methodology adopted in this study is to gather researchers opinions regarding corruption, sectarianism, ... etc. Also, “Iraq’s Dysfunctional Democracy” – the book by David Ghanim was used... The information obtained was used to evaluate the credibility of iraq... etc	I am glad to hear that the modifications I made have improved the overall quality of my paper. On the other hand, I have made several changes according to these suggestions, modifying certain phrases in order to outline the aims and how the study was undergone, but I have kept the overall structure of the methodology. I honestly hope that now the methodology is more explicit and meets the standards.