



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_20524
Title of the Manuscript:	Managing costs for Iraq's Reconstruction and Recovery
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This study is well documented and has brief explanation of managing costs for Iraq's reconstruction and recovery. I didn't find any serious flaw. I think there is no need of any major change.	Even though there is no need for major change, i have added information to the introduction and methodology in order to be more explicit.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Lack of literature review. Please add more details on this part.	I have added more literature review in order to support my ideas.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	References are need for the part of "methodology".	I have added the references I used both in the methodology and in other chapters, such as the introduction.