



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_20524
Title of the Manuscript:	Managing costs for Iraq's Reconstruction and Recovery
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article does not contain any models, representing the views of the author. Presented clear description of the current situation, which can be changed, and it's not a reason to consider the results presented for the comprehensive understanding of the economic condition of the country.	This paper compresses information from various sources and fields in the attempt to highlight the current situation in Iraq (by analysing the past decade for establishing a pattern), the consequences of the actions that have already been taken and what needs to be further done from my point of view. Nonetheless, I have made several changes in order to differentiate the theoretical approaches from my personal opinions and suggestions, and to have a more factual background connected to the general opinion.
Minor REVISION comments	As such, inappropriate to publish the article, the author should think about its processing to the introduction of theoretical propositions	I have modified the article in this regard, extending the introduction and methodology.
Optional/General comments	In addition, a revised version of the article, it is desirable to bring to the generally accepted view, adding the section "Discussion"	The section "Discussion" was combined with "Results", as suggested in the general guideline. However, I have added more information in the attempt to outline both the objective and my personal, subjective opinion on the past actions and future strategies connected to Iraq's reconstruction.