



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_20524
Title of the Manuscript:	Managing costs for Iraq's Reconstruction and Recovery
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1) The abstract has not right form. 2) If I would accept that form, there are gaps in the abstract. 3) The Methodology as it described is not correct. 4) The titles of figure must be up on the figures. 5) In some figures there are not sources. 6) I do not think that this paper adds some new in the academic literature.	1) I have used the sample from the general guideline, I thought it was mandatory for the abstract to have this form. Since there was no other example that I could have followed, I do not know what I should have done differently in terms of structure. 2) However, I have slightly modified the abstract in order to be more explicit and comprehensive, bearing in mind that it cannot exceed 300 words. 3) I have modified the introduction and methodology in order to state explicitly what and how I am going to analyse in this paper. Moreover, I have added more information in order to create a factual background. 4) I put the title of figures above the figures. 5) I have added the sources for each figure. 6) As far as I am concerned, this paper compresses information from various sources and fields in the attempt to highlight the current situation in Iraq, the effect of the actions that have already been taken and what needs to be further done from my point of view. On the other hand, it is written among the guidelines that: "This journal's peer review policy states that NO manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty'."
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		