



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_20305
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Namibian fishing product export prospects and diversification: Gravity Model Approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. All data in this study should be best updated to 2014. 2. In Figure 1, the coordinate axis should be annotated. 3. Line 158, there is not X_i in Definition 2. 4. Line 351, "inasmuch as" should be "in as much as". 5.	1. To get access to 2014 data is not possible; the ministry of fishery data is available up to 2013 2. Figure-1 is updated 3. Based on the other reviewer the Gravity formulation is removed 4. Addressed
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This study is very important to Namibia.	