



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_13595
Title of the Manuscript:	AN ASSESSMENT OF ICT AS A TOOL FOR EFFECTIVE SERVICE DELIVERY IN THE NIGERIAN LOCAL GOVERNMENT SYSTEM: A STUDY OF ETSAKO WEST LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF EDO STATE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments Title Statement of Problem Methodology	Change to: Assessment of Effectiveness of Use of ICT components for Services delivery in Etsako West Local Government Area of Edo State Nigeria Statement of the problem Statement of problem did not capture utilization and availability of ICT in the study area. Your emphasis is on Poor service delivery in the study area, you just mentioned ICT. This make the statement of the problem weak and non focus Objectives The 2 objectives not stated as problems so you have to include them in your statement of problem that you wish to profile solutions to. Also you have not measure effectiveness in your objective but it is in your result Hypotheses We use hypothesis to test association between two variable no between expected and observe Tell us the interpretation of your chi square not the calculation please remove the calculation. Methodology Indicate sampling frame work where you sampled 450 workers. Your population not stated workers in the local government area. Result and Discussion Discuss and tell us the implication of your result with reference to other literature	In agreement with the reviewer's comments, we have restructured the title of our work. We have equally restructured the statement of problem to reflect the reviewer's comments. We totally agree with the reviewer's comments on our objectives, hypotheses methodology and we have done the corrections. The implications of our result with reference to other literature are stated in the concluding part of our work We have equally gone through the references of our work. Interpretation of our work was backed up with other works in the concluding part of our work. On the whole we are convinced that the comments of reviewers are not misplaced as they have further enriched our paper.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Reference You need to comply with reference format of this journal	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Good result or data however the interpretation of the should be back up with other works	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		