



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_13595
Title of the Manuscript:	AN ASSESSMENT OF ICT AS A TOOL FOR EFFECTIVE SERVICE DELIVERY IN THE NIGERIAN LOCAL GOVERNMENT SYSTEM: A STUDY OF ETSAKO WEST LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF EDO STATE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author has done good work.	
Minor REVISION comments	Re-read and correct a few typos like calling 'Heeks' 'Heaks' Discussion is too brief; author does not discussed results generated from other scholars point of view.	We agree with reviewer and we have corrected the typos In our conclusion, we discussed similar studies by other author that our work confirmed.
Optional/General comments		