



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_33907
Title of the Manuscript:	Diversified traditional wooden implements used in Agriculture and Animal Husbandry Practices in Ladakh.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The author presented the paper in a simple way. Although not much work have done by previous researchers due to the geographical location of the region, but this paper showed some valuable information. Introduction section can be improved by incorporating the references if it is available.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	May be accepted with minor modifications	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Subrata Kumar Mandal</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>CSIR-CMERI, Durgapur, India</i>