



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_33907
Title of the Manuscript:	Diversified traditional wooden implements used in Agriculture and Animal Husbandry Practices in Ladakh.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Figures should be imbedded in the text 2. Challenges faced by the local farmers in using traditional farm tools should be spelt out 3. The discussion of the study should be supported by relevant literature if possible <p>Plagiarism is 13% and sounds good</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	If possible the writer should provide some policy implications	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Well written but needs additional information	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Owusu Samuel Mensah</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Jiangsu University, China</i>