

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_33907
Title of the Manuscript:	Diversified traditional wooden implements used in Agriculture and Animal Husbandry Practices in Ladakh.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)





SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article there's a few comments in the paper, missed a discussion with academic scientific, I suggest citing another's works belong the paper, being agreement or discarding with data.	
Minor REVISION comments	There's many paragraph with small phrases, this break the lectures.	
Optional/General comments	Nothing There's many paragraph with small phrases, this break the lectures. I suggest rewritten the paragraph used in a description of agricultural implements.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	David Peres da Rosa
Department, University & Country	Core of Study in Soil and Agricultural Machine, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil