



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_33654
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization of Tar Generated During Updraft Gasification of Woody Biomass in Auto-thermal Packed Bed Reactor
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The paper is quite well structured. As the results seem to be experimental, it would serve a description of the tools used and how they were used. In the conclusions the reviewer suggests adding how to apply these important results	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Roberto Capata
Department, University & Country	Dept. of mechanical & Aerospace Engineering, University of Roma "Sapienza", Italy